

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,475	WALDSPURGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182	

All Participants:

(1) Tanh Q. Nguyen.

(2) Jeffrey Pearce (Req. No. 34,729).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11/22/04 & 12/10/04

Time: various

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 3

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed on 11/22/04 and 12/10/04:
Discuss with Mr. Pearce to clarify the scope claim 1 and lack of antecedent basis for "the buffer" in claim 3. An amendment was proposed for claims 1,3 to correctly claim the invention. The proposed amendment was accepted. Amendments to abstract and specification are requested to conform to amendment of the claims. A terminal disclaimer is also needed to put the application in condition for allowance.